

ON THE NUMBER OF WALKS ON A REGULAR CAYLEY TREE

ERIC ROWLAND AND DORON ZEILBERGER

ABSTRACT. We provide a new derivation of the well-known generating function counting the number of walks on a regular tree that start and end at the same vertex, and more generally, a generating function for the number of walks that end at a vertex a distance i from the start vertex. These formulas seem to be very old, and go back, in an equivalent form, at least to Harry Kesten's work on symmetric random walks on groups from 1959, and in the present form to Brendan McKay (1983).

Consider the (infinite) regular Cayley tree where each vertex has degree m . How many walks of length n are there that start and end at the same vertex? Let's call this number $A_m(0, n)$. More generally, how many such walks of length n are there that end up at a given vertex that has distance i from the starting vertex? Let's call that number $A_m(i, n)$. The sequences $\{A_m(0, 2n)\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ for $m = 2, 3, 4$ are Sloane's [A000984](#) (the central binomial coefficients), [A089022](#), and [A035610](#) respectively [4]. For $5 \leq m \leq 8$ these are sequences [A12096r](#), $0 \leq r \leq 3$. The sequences for $i > 0$ do not seem to be present at the time of this writing.

The generating function of $\{A_m(0, n)\}$,

$$f_m(t) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} A_m(0, n) t^n,$$

supplied to Sloane [4, [A035610](#)] by Paul Boddington, is

$$f_m(t) = \frac{2(m-1)}{m-2+m\sqrt{1-4(m-1)t^2}}.$$

We couldn't find any proof of this in the literature. Stevanović et al. [5] reference Boddington's formula without proof. After the first version of this article was written, Brendan McKay pointed out to us that the formula for $f_m(t)$, as well as the formula for $f_m^{(i)}(t)$ given below, are contained in [3]. Shortly after, we also received an email message from Franz Lehner, who kindly told us about Harry Kesten's work [2]. He also mentioned Pierre Cartier's work [1].

Nevertheless, we still believe that the present note is worth publishing, because of the elegant method of proof that should be applicable in many other problems. Of course, none of this is "deep" (by today's state of the art), so our paper should be labeled "for entertainment only".

More generally, we prove that

$$f_m^{(i)}(t) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} A_m(i, n) t^n,$$

Date: March 12, 2009.

the generating function for those walks that end up at a vertex that has distance i from the starting vertex, for any $i \geq 0$, equals

$$f_m^{(i)}(t) = \frac{2(m-1)}{m-2+m\sqrt{1-4(m-1)t^2}} \cdot \left(\frac{1-\sqrt{1-4(m-1)t^2}}{2(m-1)t} \right)^i.$$

Let us remark that $A_{2m}(i, n)$ is also the coefficient of any reduced word of length i in the expansion of $(\sum_{i=1}^m x_i + x_i^{-1})^n$ in non-commuting symbols x_1, \dots, x_m .

THE RECURRENCE

Obviously $A_m(i, 0)$ is 0 unless $i = 0$, in which case it is 1. If $n > 0$ and $i = 0$, then the number of walks is $mA_m(1, n-1)$, since the first step must be to a vertex at distance 1 from the starting vertex. If $i > 0$ then we have the recurrence

$$A_m(i, n) = A_m(i-1, n-1) + (m-1)A_m(i+1, n-1)$$

for $i \geq 1$ and $n \geq 1$, since any vertex whose distance is i from the starting point has exactly one neighbor whose distance is $i-1$ and exactly $m-1$ neighbors whose distance is $i+1$.

With the same effort, we can solve a slightly more general problem.

A MORE GENERAL RECURRENCE AND WEIGHTED DYCK PATHS

Let's consider, for any constants c_1, c_2, c_3 , the solution of the recurrence

$$\begin{aligned} A(i, n) &= c_1 A(i-1, n-1) + c_2 A(i+1, n-1), \quad i \geq 1, n \geq 1; \\ A(0, n) &= c_3 A(1, n-1), \quad n \geq 1; \\ A(i, 0) &= \delta_{i,0}. \end{aligned}$$

This is a slight variation on the generic recurrence for Dyck paths. These are walks in the two-dimensional lattice with steps

$$\begin{aligned} U &: (x, y) \rightarrow (x+1, y+1) \\ D &: (x, y) \rightarrow (x+1, y-1) \end{aligned}$$

that start at the origin, end at the point (n, i) , and never venture below the x -axis.

Let's define the *weight* of such a walk to be $c_1^{\#U} c_2^{\#D} t^{\#U+\#D}$ and the *poids* to be

$$c_1^{\#U} c_2^{\#D} \text{not touching the } x\text{-axis } c_3^{\#D} \text{ touching the } x\text{-axis } t^{\#U+\#D},$$

or more succinctly,

$$c_1^{\#U} c_2^{\#D} (c_3/c_2)^{\# \text{ irreducible components}} t^{\#U+\#D},$$

where an irreducible component is a portion of a walk that starts and ends on the x -axis, but otherwise is strictly above it.

It is easy to see that $A(i, n)t^n$ is the weight enumerator, according to *poids*, of the set of paths that terminate at (n, i) , and $d_i(t) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} A(i, n)t^n$ is the *poids* enumerator of *all* walks that end at a point with $y = i$.

Let's first compute $d_0(t)$, the *poids* enumerator of walks that end on the x -axis (i.e for which $\#U = \#D$). This is a minor variation on the usual way of counting Dyck paths. Let $a(t)$ be the weight enumerator for *all* Dyck paths according to *weight*,

and let $b(t)$ be the weight enumerator (also according to *weight*) of *irreducible* paths. Obviously, we have

$$a(t) = \frac{1}{1 - b(t)}, \quad b(t) = c_1 c_2 t^2 a(t).$$

That leads to the quadratic equation

$$(c_1 c_2 t^2) a(t)^2 - a(t) + 1 = 0$$

whose solution is

$$a(t) = \frac{1 - \sqrt{1 - 4c_1 c_2 t^2}}{2c_1 c_2 t^2},$$

and hence $b(t) = c_1 c_2 t^2 a(t)$ equals

$$b(t) = \frac{1 - \sqrt{1 - 4c_1 c_2 t^2}}{2}.$$

Now let $c(t)$ be the weight enumerator of irreducible paths according to *poids*. Of course

$$c(t) = \frac{c_3}{c_2} b(t),$$

since the last step now contributes a factor of c_3 rather than c_2 , from which we get

$$c(t) = \frac{c_3(1 - \sqrt{1 - 4c_1 c_2 t^2})}{2c_2},$$

and consequently, $d(t) = d_0(t)$, the weight enumerator of all Dyck paths according to the weight *poids* is

$$d(t) = \frac{1}{1 - c(t)} = \frac{2c_2}{2c_2 - c_3 + c_3 \sqrt{1 - 4c_1 c_2 t^2}}.$$

By plugging in $c_1 = 1, c_2 = m - 1, c_3 = m$, we get Paul Boddington's expression.

Finally, to get the weight enumerator $d_i(t)$ according to *poids* of *all* walks that wind up at a point with $y = i$, note that any such walk can be uniquely decomposed into $W_0 UW_1 UW_2 UW_3 \cdots UW_i$ where there are i U 's and W_0, \dots, W_i are ordinary Dyck paths. Their *poids* is the *poids* of W_0 times the product of the weights of W_1, \dots, W_i , times $c_1^i t^i$ (to account for the i U 's). Hence

$$d_i(t) = d(t)(c_1 t a(t))^i = \frac{2c_2}{2c_2 - c_3 + c_3 \sqrt{1 - 4c_1 c_2 t^2}} \cdot \left(\frac{1 - \sqrt{1 - 4c_1 c_2 t^2}}{2c_2 t} \right)^i.$$

Plugging in $c_1 = 1, c_2 = m - 1, c_3 = m$ yields

$$f_m^{(i)}(t) = \frac{2(m-1)}{m-2 + m\sqrt{1-4(m-1)t^2}} \cdot \left(\frac{1 - \sqrt{1 - 4(m-1)t^2}}{2(m-1)t} \right)^i.$$

REFERENCES

- [1] Pierre Cartier, Harmonic analysis on trees, *Harmonic Analysis on Homogeneous Spaces: Proceedings of Symposia in Pure Mathematics*, Vol. XXVI, Williams College, Williamstown, Mass. 419–424, American Mathematical Society, Providence, R.I., 1973.
- [2] Harry Kesten, Symmetric random walks on groups, *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society* **92** (1959) 336–354.
- [3] Brendan McKay, Spanning trees in regular graphs, *European Journal of Combinatorics* **4** (1983) 149–160.
- [4] Neil Sloane, The On-Line Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences, <http://www.research.att.com/~njas/sequences>.

[5] Dragan Stevanović, Nair de Abreu, Maria de Freitas, and Renata Del-Vecchio, Walks and regular integral graphs, *Linear Algebra and its Applications* **423** (2007) 119–135.